



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/797,692

03/09/2004

Brian M. May

54900031CPG

4326

27572

7590

06/20/2006

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.

P.O. BOX 828

BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303

EXAMINER

SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3738

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/797,692		MAY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Bruce E. Snow		3738	

**All Participants:**

(1) Bruce E. Snow.

(2) Maria Comninou.

**Date of Interview:** 13 June 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*objection to the drawings*

Claims discussed:  
*none*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**BRUCE SNOW**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the objection to drawings. It is the Examiner unchanged position that the elected embodiment, shown in figures 53-54, fails to show the "compliant portion operable to be expanded and contracted". Applicant previously responded that element 1512 is the compliant portion. In view of applicant's drawing and specification, it is unclear why element 1512 is considered a compliant portion operable to be expanded and contracted. The drawing must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims; the compliant portion is an element vital to applicant's device. Ms. Comninou asked how to overcome the objection, the Examiner response was please show a compliant portion like that shown in other figures, for example figure 52 (make sure all drawing changes are supported).